

A Different Way of Thinking About Gravity

Time and Gravity

Bernal Thalman Cordero

www.time-gravity.com

August 27, 2026

Abstract

This article presents a conceptual interpretation of gravity that focuses on the spatial variation of the progress of time. Building on general relativity, the proposal suggests that, for the simplest case of radial free fall in weak fields, the temporal part of the relativistic geometry already contains the main information needed to recover the familiar gravitational acceleration.

Mass establishes a spatial variation in the progress of time, and free fall follows the direction associated with that variation. This interpretation does not discard general relativity, but rather offers a different physical emphasis for radial motion.

1 A Question as Old as Falling Objects

More than four centuries ago, Galileo Galilei challenged some of the most deeply held ideas about falling bodies.

According to the traditional account, objects of different masses were dropped from the Leaning Tower of Pisa to explore how they fell. Regardless of whether the famous experiment happened exactly as later generations described it, the idea associated with Galileo marked a profound shift in the way gravity was understood.

Falling could no longer be explained simply by saying that heavier objects naturally sought the ground with more force than lighter ones.

Nature seemed to follow a deeper rule.

Galileo helped reveal how bodies fall. But the deeper question remained:

Why do they fall at all?

2 Newton Gave Gravity a Law

Isaac Newton transformed the study of gravity by recognizing that the same phenomenon that makes an object fall toward the Earth also governs the motion of the Moon and the planets.

Gravity became universal.

A falling stone and an orbiting planet ceased to be separate mysteries. They belonged to the same physical law.

Newton's description was extraordinarily successful. It showed us how gravitational attraction depends on mass and distance, and allowed the motion of the planets to be predicted with remarkable precision.

But Newton himself did not provide a physical mechanism explaining how one mass could influence another across empty space.

The law described gravity beautifully. The nature of gravity remained open.

3 Einstein Changed the Question

More than two centuries later, Albert Einstein completely changed the conceptual landscape.

In general relativity, gravity is not treated as an ordinary force pulling one object toward another. Matter and energy affect the geometry of spacetime, and falling bodies follow the natural trajectories permitted by that geometry.

This was a revolutionary idea.

A falling body need not be imagined as being continuously pushed downward by an invisible hand. In Einstein's description, free fall is the natural motion through spacetime.

General relativity has been extraordinarily successful. Gravitational time dilation, planetary motion, the bending of light, and many other phenomena are described within its geometric framework.

The temporal interpretation of gravity presented here does not seek to discard general relativity.

Instead, it asks whether a particular aspect of that geometry can offer a more direct physical intuition for radial free fall.

4 Time Is Not the Same Everywhere

One of the most remarkable consequences of gravity is also one of the most experimentally well established: clocks do not progress at the same rate everywhere within a gravitational field.

A clock located closer to a massive body progresses differently from an equivalent clock located farther away.

This is not merely a philosophical statement about the meaning of time. It is a measurable physical effect.

Modern technology must account for it. Satellite clocks, for example, do not remain synchronized with clocks on the Earth's surface unless relativistic effects are taken into account.

Therefore, mass is associated with something very real:

the progress of time varies from place to place.

And this observation opens up the central question of this temporal interpretation of gravity.

5 The Question Behind This Temporal Interpretation

If time progresses differently at different distances from a massive body, should that variation be regarded only as a consequence of gravity?

Or could it also help us understand the motion we call gravity?

This is the starting point of the interpretation proposed here.

Instead of beginning by imagining that a body is pulled through space, we focus first on the fact that the temporal environment surrounding a mass is not uniform.

Farther from the mass, the progress of time approaches its asymptotic behavior.

Closer to the mass, the progress of time is slower relative to that distant reference.

Therefore, there is a change in the progress of time from one place to another.

This interpretation of temporal flow asks whether that spatial variation of time constitutes the kinematic key to radial gravitational acceleration.

6 What Do We Mean by “Temporal Flow”?

The expression *temporal flow* is used here as an intuitive way of describing the local progress of time.

It should not be imagined as a liquid, an ether, or a substance that physically flows through space.

The word *flow* expresses something simpler: clocks at different locations within a gravitational field do not accumulate time at the same rate relative to a common reference.

We can therefore imagine a landscape in which the progress of time changes gradually with position.

In an empty region far from a gravitating body, that landscape becomes nearly uniform.

Near the mass, it is no longer uniform.

That non-uniformity constitutes the essential physical picture of the temporal-flow interpretation developed here.

7 Why Focus on Time?

General relativity describes the curvature of spacetime as a four-dimensional whole. This temporal interpretation does not deny that four-dimensional geometry.

The proposal is more specific.

For the simplest case of vertical, or purely radial, free fall in a weak gravitational field, the temporal part of the relativistic description already contains the leading-order information needed to recover the familiar gravitational acceleration.

This suggests a different physical emphasis.

Instead of first asking how three-dimensional space must curve so that a stone falls vertically, we ask:

What happens if the stone responds to a spatial change in the progress of time?

In this interpretation, spatial curvature remains part of the full relativistic geometry, especially for orbital motion, the propagation of light, and stronger gravitational fields.

But it need not be invoked as the direct, leading-order mechanism behind the simplest radial fall.

8 Falling Toward a Slower Time

Let us imagine dropping a stone on Earth.

At the moment it is released, no engine pushes it downward, and no visible mechanism links the Earth to the stone.

Yet it accelerates.

From the perspective of temporal flow, the stone is located in a region where the progress of time is not spatially uniform.

One direction leads toward regions where local time progresses more slowly.

The opposite direction leads toward regions where the progress of time approaches its distant value.

The free body follows the natural inertial response associated with that non-uniform temporal environment.

The result can be stated very simply:

In vertical free fall, a freely moving body accelerates toward regions of slower temporal progression.

In this picture, the body is not attracted by time. Time is not a new force.

Rather, the spatial variation in the progress of time provides the kinematic environment within which free motion unfolds.

9 Back to Galileo's Falling Bodies

We can now return to the picture with which our story began.

Imagine two different objects dropped together from the same height.

Galileo's great insight was that their fall is not simply a consequence of one object being heavier and therefore wanting to descend faster.

From the perspective of this temporal interpretation, both objects occupy the same temporal environment.

They encounter the same spatial variation in the progress of time and therefore share the same underlying gravitational condition.

The emphasis shifts from an attractive property belonging separately to each falling object toward the structure of the environment through which both objects move.

Galileo's falling bodies thus provide an unexpectedly natural gateway into a temporal interpretation of gravity.

10 Vertical Fall Is Only the Beginning

A stone dropped vertically is the simplest gravitational motion.

Nature, of course, contains much more.

The Moon does not fall directly toward the Earth. The Earth does not fall directly toward the Sun. Their motion contains transverse components in addition to radial ones.

In orbital motion, the full geometry of spacetime becomes important. The simple radial picture developed here is therefore not intended to replace the complete relativistic description of planetary motion.

Instead, vertical free fall serves as the cleanest possible case for isolating a particular question:

How much of gravitational acceleration can be understood by looking only at the spatial variation of time?

The mathematical development shows that, in the weak-field radial case, the answer is surprisingly substantial.

11 Where Does This Leave Einstein?

It is important to be precise about what this interpretive framework is proposing.

The interpretation presented here does not discard Einstein's field equations. It does not claim that the successful predictions of general relativity are incorrect. And it does not require spacetime to be a material medium.

Instead, it asks whether a familiar part of Einstein's geometry can be given a more intuitive kinematic interpretation.

General relativity tells us how the geometry of spacetime is related to matter and energy.

This framework isolates the temporal part of that geometry in the weak-field radial case and asks what it means physically.

The resulting picture is simple:

mass establishes a spatial variation in the progress of time, and free fall follows the direction associated with that variation.

The mathematics remains relativistic. What changes is the physical emphasis of the story.

12 The Idea in One Sentence

If the entire discussion had to be reduced to a single physical picture, it would be this:

A freely falling body need not be imagined as being pulled through space; instead, it can be understood as responding inertially to a spatially non-uniform progress of time.

That is the central narrative of this temporal interpretation of gravity.

DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.xxxxxxx

References

- [1] Galileo, G. (1638). *Discourses and Mathematical Demonstrations Relating to Two New Sciences*. Leiden.
- [2] Newton, I. (1687). *Philosophiæ Naturalis Principia Mathematica*. London.
- [3] Einstein, A. (1916). Die Grundlage der allgemeinen Relativitätstheorie. *Annalen der Physik*, 49, 769–822.
- [4] Will, C. M. (2014). The Confrontation between General Relativity and Experiment. *Living Reviews in Relativity*, 17, 4.